UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SDN LIMITED and STEVEN NERAYOFF,

Case No. 24-cv-06089-JMA-AYS

Plaintiffs,

Document 30

v.

IOV LABS LIMITED, RIF LABS LIMITED, RSK LABS LIMITED, DIEGO GUTIERREZ ZALDIVAR, and ALEJANDRO MARIA ABERG COBO a/k/a ALEX COBO,

DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER A. OTT IN SUPPORT OF LOEB & LOEB LLP'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW

Defendants.

I, CHRISTOPHER A. OTT, hereby declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am a partner of Loeb & Loeb LLP ('Loeb''). I am primarily responsible for Loeb's engagement by Plaintiff Steven Nerayoff and his related companies.
- 2. Pursuant to the Court's December 18, 2024 Text Order, I respectfully submit this declaration in support Loeb's motion for the entry of an Order, pursuant to Local Civil Rule 1.4: (1) permitting Loeb to withdraw as counsel of record for as counsel for Plaintiffs SDN Limited and Steven Nerayoff (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and discontinuing noticing to Loeb through the CM/ECF system; and (2) temporarily staying all further proceedings in this action to allow Plaintiffs time to retain new counsel.

BACKGROUND

3. Plaintiffs initiated this case before the Supreme Court of New York by filing a Summons With Notice pursuant to CPLR 305(b) on May 3, 2024. On August 30, 2024, certain of the Defendants removed the action to this Court. See Dkt. 1-2. In accordance with the directives of this Court, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint on November 5, 2024. See Dkt. 21.

Document 30 Case 2:24-cv-06089-JMA-AYS Filed 01/03/25 Page 2 of 2 PageID #:

By letter dated November 26, 2024, Defendants requested a pre-motion conference 4.

concerning Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss the Complaint, or in the alternative, to

compel arbitration. See Dkt. 22. No pre-motion conference has yet been scheduled.

5. Following numerous discussions with Mr. Nerayoff concerning the litigation of this

action, on December 16, 2024, Mr. Nerayoff (for himself and on behalf of his company, Plaintiff

SDN Limited) relieved Loeb & Loeb LLP of its services as counsel in respect to this action. See

Dkt. 26.

I advised Mr. Nerayoff of the requirement that SDN Limited be represented by 6.

counsel in the action, and he advised me that it was Plaintiffs' intent to engage substitute counsel.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Loeb respectfully requests that the Court issue an Order: (1)

permitting Loeb to withdraw as counsel of record for Plaintiffs and discontinuing noticing to Loeb

through the CM/ECF system; and (2) staying all further proceedings in this action for a period of

30 days after notice to appoint another attorney has been served upon Plaintiffs as directed by

Order of this Court; and (3) granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and

proper.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true

and correct.

Dated: January 3, 2025

Christopher A. Ott (cott@loeb.com)

Loeb & Loeb LLP

240443062

2